# FY19 Workshop <br> Proposal Score Results 

| Workshop | Requested Funds |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1) Incorporating Freshwater <br> Mussels in the Chesapeake <br> Bay Partnership $\$ 8,500$ Jan-March 2020 <br> (\#2) Linking In-Field and Edge- <br> of-Field Water Management <br> to Soil and Watershed Health $\$ 10,000$ January 2020 (flexible) <br> (\#3) Satellite Image <br> Integration for the CB SAV <br> Monitoring Program $\$ 10,000$ 3 separate two-day events <br> between Oct 2019 and Feb <br> 2020,1 final 2 or 3 day <br> synthesis in April 2020 <br> (\#4) Targeting Non-Point <br> Source BMP Implementation $\$ 10,000$ Fall 2019 |  |  |

## Total Requested= \$38,500

Total Budget Available= \$50,000


21 STAC member scoring responses

## Rankings based on total mean score:

| Rank | RFP Title | Mean Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | (\#4) Increasing Effectiveness and Reducing the Cost of <br> Non-Point Source Best Management Practice <br> Implementation: Is Targeting the Answer? | $\mathbf{3 . 6 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | (\#1) Incorporating Freshwater Mussels in the <br> Chesapeake Bay Partnership | $\mathbf{3 . 5 5 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | (\#3) Exploring Satellite Image Integration for the <br> Chesapeake Bay SAV Monitoring Program | $\mathbf{3 . 3 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | (\#2) Linking In-Field and Edge-of-Field Water <br> Management to Soil and Watershed Health | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 5}$ |
| Total FY18 Workshop Budget= \$50,000 |  |  |
| Fund All 4 Workshops= \$38,500 |  |  |

## Lowest Score

## \#2 Linking In-Field and Edge-of-Field Water Management to Soil and Watershed Health



- "High priority proposal to address in and edge of field management. Opportunities for upland management significant, particularly given soil health and buffer function"
- "The match of TNC makes this proposal attractive given the complexity of the issues"
- "I don’t see how this relates to CBP goals directly"
- "How will the workshop be organized to answer the key questions based solely on presentations? Will there be breakout sessions?"
- "Large diverse topic could possibly use a bit more focus"/"Broad"
- Multiple comments on who would participant: NRCS? Expertise outside TNC? Not diverse enough
- Outcomes are unclear

